Impact of early warning systems on patient outcomes

- Many in-hospital deaths are predictable and preventable and are often associated with poor clinical monitoring on the ward.

- Early warning scoring systems are widely used in hospitals to track patient deterioration and to trigger escalations in clinical monitoring and response.

- National adoption of the NEWS system is advocated.

- The evidence base for early warning systems is very limited but does suggest potential reductions in cardiac arrests and unplanned ICU admissions.

- Substantial resources are being invested in electronic early warning systems across the NHS. Given finite budgets, monitoring of costs, resource use and impact on patient outcomes is crucial to any deployment.

- Continuous training and support for ward level staff (including bank nurses) will be integral to system implementation and to longer term maintenance.

This issue of Effectiveness Matters has been produced by CRD in collaboration with the Yorkshire and Humber AHSN Improvement Academy. The views expressed in this bulletin are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the AHSN or the University of York.
Background

Many in-hospital deaths are predictable and preventable and are often associated with poor clinical monitoring on the ward. Identifying those patients at risk of clinical deterioration is a major issue for secondary care. NICE guidance recommends that all patients should be monitored to help identify those whose clinical condition is deteriorating or at risk of deterioration.

Early warning scoring systems are widely used in hospitals to track patient deterioration and to trigger escalations in clinical monitoring and rapid response by critical care outreach teams. The scoring systems used to trigger escalation are based on routine observations by ward staff. Measurement of physiological parameters of respiratory rate, oxygen saturation levels, blood pressure, temperature, pulse rate and level of consciousness are combined to give weighted scores that in turn trigger graded clinical responses.

A number of aggregate weighted scoring systems are in use. This variation in practice across the UK, has led the Royal College of Physicians to develop a standardised national early warning scoring system known as NEWS (see Box).

National adoption of NEWS is being widely advocated. The aim is to build on existing systems of routine monitoring and standardise the method of assessment of illness severity across the NHS. The outline clinical response for NEWS is presented on the back page of this bulletin. The Royal College of Physicians working party recommends that organisation of the clinical response to acute illness should be reviewed and agreed locally.

NHS hospital Trusts implementing early warning systems need to be aware of the extent of evidence supporting their use in routine practice. This issue of Effectiveness Matters summarises evidence from recent systematic reviews that assess whether early warning systems improve patient outcomes compared to standard processes of care. The bulletin has also been informed by roundtable discussions hosted by the Yorkshire and Humber AHSN Improvement Academy.

Effectiveness

The impact on early warning systems on patient outcomes has been subject to systematic review. The two most recent reviews have some methodological limitations but nevertheless present a good overview of the extent and quality of the available evidence base.

Overall, much of the available evidence is of poor quality. Most studies employ uncontrolled before and after designs making it difficult to attribute causation and highly likely that any future, better quality research will have an important impact on any estimate of effect. In addition, a wide variety of scoring systems and methods of rapid clinical response have been implemented without adequate control for other potential confounding factors. No formal evaluations of the implementation of NEWS have been published.

From the evidence presented, it appears that NEWS-like aggregate weighted scoring systems perform better than single parameter systems in identifying deteriorating patients.

In relation to patient outcomes, early warning systems when combined with rapid response appear to have the potential to reduce cardiac arrests and unplanned ICU admissions. Impact on reducing in-hospital mortality was mixed. These findings are in line with an earlier review of 18 randomised studies evaluating the deployment of rapid response teams in hospitals. This review found that intervention by rapid response teams appeared to reduce rates of cardiac arrest outside the ICU but found no impact on in-hospital deaths.

Although reporting of details on patient populations was very limited, it appears observed effects were apparent in those surgical / high risk patients where deterioration is more predictable.

Accuracy of scoring systems

The accuracy of data recording and the calculation of early warning scores can in turn impact on the accurate detection of patient deterioration. Inaccuracies can lead to delays in identifying patients at risk and or to unnecessary use of health care resources. Considerable investment is being made in either the development of in-house or the acquisition of commercially available electronic systems by NHS Trusts across the NHS. There are some small scale studies that have compared paper versus electronic methods for speed and accuracy. A more recent study has suggested an association between use of electronic systems and reduced in-hospital mortality. However, these are areas yet to be subject to systematic review.

NICE has made a recommendation for research to examine the effectiveness and cost effectiveness of electronic monitoring systems compared with manual recording systems in identifying people at risk of clinical deterioration in general hospital ward settings. This is a gap that has yet to be addressed.
Cost effectiveness

No formal evaluations of cost effectiveness of early warning systems (electronic or paper based) were identified. Given finite budgets, there will be opportunity costs attached to the current investment by NHS Trusts in electronic systems. Clear monitoring of costs, resource use and impact on patient outcomes will be crucial to determining whether these systems actually deliver a return on investment.

Implementation issues

A whole systems approach is being advocated for the provision of emergency and acute services across England. Early warning systems and the resulting graded response strategies should therefore be fully integrated into critical and elective care pathways. Electronic warning systems should also be integrated with existing patient management systems.

NICE recommends that all staff caring for patients in acute hospital settings should have competencies in monitoring, measurement, interpretation and prompt response to the acutely ill patient appropriate to the level of care they are providing.2 Ongoing education and training for all staff (including bank nurses) on use of early warning scoring systems will be key to successful implementation and to ensuring continuing competency.

The Royal College of Physicians, Royal College of Nursing, National Outreach Forum and NHS Training for Innovation have developed an online educational programme to train and support NHS staff in the use of the NEWS system. The e-learning tool is available at: http://tfinews.ocbmedia.com/

There is a lack of reliable evidence about whether to adopt and/or how best to implement electronic early systems. To support local decision-making, those already investing need to improve collection and reporting of how systems are being implemented, resourced and experienced in the NHS. To better inform the wider spread and adoption (or indeed discontinuation), there is a need to standardise both collection and reporting methods so that data are systematically captured in a generalisable format.
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Outline Clinical Response to NEWS Triggers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NEWS SCORE</th>
<th>FREQUENCY OF MONITORING</th>
<th>CLINICAL RESPONSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Minimum 12 hourly</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-4</td>
<td>Minimum 4-6 hourly</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 or more or 3 in one parameter</td>
<td>Increased frequency to a minimum of 1 hourly</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 or more</td>
<td>Continuous monitoring of vital signs</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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